



## **GCC BPF update**

GCC BPF team

LSFMMBPF 2026

# Outline

**Update on BPF support in GNU Toolchain**

**BPF Compiler Support**

**Some discussion topics**

# Update on BPF support in GNU Toolchain

BPF Compiler Support

Some discussion topics

# The tools

## **GCC**

- ▶ bpf-unknown-none-gcc

## **GNU binutils, GDB and the GNU simulator**

- ▶ bpf-unknown-none-{as,objdump,...}
- ▶ gdb
- ▶ bpf-unknown-none-run

## **DejaGNU**

- ▶ bpf-sim.exp, bpf-vmtest.exp

## **GNU poke**

- ▶ bpf.pk, bpfcore.pk
- ▶ btf.pk, btf-dump.pk, btf-ext.pk

## **Elfutils**

- ▶ eu-{readelf,objdump,...}

# General updates

- ▶ **GCC 16.1** released last week!
- ▶ Team is growing: welcome **Vineet Gupta**!
- ▶ BPF specific **mailing list**: [bpf@gcc.gnu.org](mailto:bpf@gcc.gnu.org).
- ▶ <https://gcc.gnu.org/wiki>
  - BPFBackEnd
  - BPFBackEnd/ABI
  - BPFRunTimeTests
- ▶ Weekly **meetings** over BBB.
  - Every Monday from 19:00 to 20:00 CET/CEST.
  - <https://bbb-new.sfconservancy.org/rooms/wgy-wvm-vyt-id4/join>
- ▶ Kernel selftests status: 601/5488 PASSED, 126 SKIPPED, 112 FAILED

# binutils updates

- ▶ **wN** regs are now allowed in load/store instructions.
- ▶ Support for **may\_goto** instruction.
- ▶ BTF support in **objdump** via libctf (WIP).
- ▶ Solana BPF (**sBPF**) ISA support (WIP).
  - [github.com/solana-foundation/solana-improvement-documents/](https://github.com/solana-foundation/solana-improvement-documents/)

## sBPF ISAs

Feature	v0	v1	v2	v3
Static syscalls	no	no	no	yes
Dynamic stack frames	no	yes	yes	no
PQR instructions	no	no	yes	no
Explicit sign extension	no	no	yes	yes
Compatibility with eBPF v3 ISA	no	no	no	yes

## Bugzillas

**28565** gdb BPF support . gdb crashes after step/run/continue

# GCC updates (I)

- ▶ GCC now generates **line info** in BTF.ext.
- ▶ **ABI** consolidation and fixes.
- ▶ **Code generation** fixes
  - Zero extension now uses 32-bit instructions.
  - **BYTE\_SLOW\_ACCESS** is now zero.
  - **memmove** and **memset** inlining and miscompilations.
- ▶ **CO-RE** fixes.
  - More about this later...
- ▶ CO-RE handling of bit fields in structs.
- ▶ New BPF torture testsuite, **bpf-vmtest-tool**
- ▶ Push and pop attribute pragmas. (WIP)

# GCC updates (II)

## Bugzillas

- 121419** \_\_clzdi2 emitted when building udev-hid-bpf
- 124974** bpf: support for bpf arenas
- 124698** bpf: support stack arguments
- 124419** adjust PROMOTE\_MODE and sign/zero extension
- 124171** procedure calling convention ABI incompatible with clang
- 123516** xdp-tools fails tests for bpf
- 121461** switch to table conversion should be switched off for BPF
- 119941** bpf: -Warray-bounds warning in adjust\_offset\_for\_component\_ref
- 117068** bpf: dereference of modified ctx ptr is disallowed
- 116718** bpf: support bpf\_fastcall attributes
- 107438** bpf: support passing small record arguments by value

# GCC updates (III)

BPF torture test, expected to verify.

```
1 // { dg-do run }
2 // { dg-options -Wall }
3
4 #include "vmlinux.h"
5 #include <bpf/bpf_helpers.h>
6 #include <bpf/bpf_tracing.h>
7 #include <bpf/bpf_core_read.h>
8
9 char LICENSE[] SEC("license") = "GPL";
10
11 int example_pid = 0;
12
13 SEC("tracepoint/syscalls/sys_enter_openat")
14 int handle_openat(struct trace_event_raw_sys_enter *ctx)
15 {
16     int pid = bpf_get_current_pid_tgid() >> 32;
17     char filename[256]; // filename buffer
18     bpf_probe_read_user(&filename, sizeof(filename), (void *)ctx->args[1]);
19     bpf_printk("sys_enter_openat()_called_from_PID_%d_for_file:%s\n", pid, filename);
20
21     return 0;
22 }
23
24 /* { dg-output "BPF programs successfully loaded" } */
```

# GCC updates (IV)

BPF torture test, expected to not verify.

```
1 // { dg-do run { xfail *-*-* } }
2 // { dg-options -Wall }
3
4 #include "vmlinux.h"
5 #include <bpf/bpf_helpers.h>
6 #include <bpf/bpf_tracing.h>
7
8 char LICENSE[] SEC("license") = "GPL";
9
10 SEC("tracepoint/syscalls/sys_enter_openat")
11 int bpf_prog(struct trace_event_raw_sys_enter *ctx) {
12     int arr[4] = {1, 2, 3, 4};
13
14     // Invalid memory access: out-of-bounds
15     int val = arr[5]; // This causes the verifier to fail
16
17     return val;
18 }
```

## DejaGNU updates

- ▶ `baseboards/bpf-vmtest.exp` by Piyush Raj.
- ▶ Repo `git://git.sv.gnu.org/dejagnu.git`.
- ▶ Currently in branch `feature-bpfvmtest`, soon in master.
- ▶ `baseboards/bpf-sim.exp` also available but not upstream.

# elfutils updates

## Bugzillas

- |              |  |
|--------------|--|
| <b>30628</b> | bpf: relocations are outdated in elfutils  |
| <b>30629</b> | bpf: complete BPF disassembler in elfutils |

Update on BPF support in GNU Toolchain

**BPF Compiler Support**

Some discussion topics

# BPF Compiler Support

As of May 2026.

Feature		LLVM	GNU
ISA v1-v4	production	yes	yes
ALU-32	production	yes	yes
lineinfo in BTF.ext	production	yes	yes
CO-RE relocs in BTF.ext	production	yes	yes
CO-RE preserve_static_offset	production	yes	patch
Other CO-RE attributes	production	yes	yes
Memory ordering aware atomic built-ins	production	yes	no
attribute push/pop pragmas	production	yes	no
small record arg passing	production	yes	no
fast calls	production	yes	no
may_goto instruction	production	yes	yes
addr_space attribute	experimental	yes	no
addr_space_cast insn	experimental	yes	no
stack args	proposed	patch	no
-fverifiable	proposed	no	no

What is not in the table?

**Update on BPF support in GNU Toolchain**

**BPF Compiler Support**

**Some discussion topics**

# CO-RE related topics

- ▶ Split CO-RE and non CO-RE expressions.
  - Some memory accesses involve both CO-RE and non-core structs.
- ▶ Attribute push/pop pragmas in GCC.
  - bpftool uses it in generated `vmlinux.h`.
  - Workaround (in GCC) for C not having nested structs.
- ▶ Bit fields in CO-RE.
  - GCC now generates correct code like clang.
  - But they don't generate CO-RE relocs for these fields.
  - Worth supporting them? If no, should we warning?
- ▶ CO-RE and packed structs.
  - Something seems to be off with packed structs and CO-RE.
  - <https://godbolt.org/z/eh4ehWkTo>
- ▶ CO-RE attribute **`preserve_static_offset`**.
  - Clang compiled code doesn't seem to need this as of today.
  - But GCC does.

## BTF related topics

- ▶ We finally have **type tags** and **decl tags** in GCC, both DWARF and BTF.
- ▶ GCC now matches clang in the ordering of type tags in pointer types.
- ▶ BTF and function signature changes in optimized code.
  - Alan and Yonghong will talk about this later.
  - Can this be reliably conveyed in DWARF?
  - GCC can generate BTF for any target.

## Other topics

- ▶ Implement some compiler built-ins like `__builtin_clz` as kfuncs.
  - Does this make sense?
  - With fast calls, these are fast!
- ▶ Linking BPF programs
  - ELF link editor, BPF loader.
  - GNU ld can link BPF programs, limited by existing relocs.
  - Relocs, dynamic tags.
  - What are the plans?
- ▶ Arguments on the stack
  - Proposal by Yonghong. Discussed later.

## And more!

- ▶ Implement cost model in the BPF backend.
  - This helps to generate more verifiable code.
- ▶ Argument promotion in GCC should match clang.
- ▶ More work on the verifier is necessary to handle GCC codegen idioms.

# Thanks

Let's catch up during the conference to talk about these and other topics... Thank you!